(96)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 7564 of 2006

Petitioners

1. Pardeshiram son of Bodhram, aged about 48 years, resident of village Daija, Tahsil-Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
2. Babu Lal son of Chhedi Lal, aged 55 years, resident of village Kekati, Tahsil Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. Chaitram son of Bhagatram, aged about 50 years, resident of village Bicharpur Kapa, Tahsil Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (CG)
4. Saukhi Lal son of Charan, aged 50 years, resident of village Rajpur, Tahsil Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (CG)
5. Bhishan Prasad Dubey of Har Prasad, aged 55 years, resident of village Kathmuda, Tahsil Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (CG)
6. Mitthu Singh son of Rambhau, aged 53 years, resident of village – Pakariya, Tahsil-Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (CG)
7. Hulasram son of Samaru, aged about 53 years, resident of village-Hardi, Tahsil-Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (CG)
8. Gaukaran Prasad son of Hinchharam, aged about 50 years, resident of village-Tanda, Tahsil-Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (CG)
9. Harmani Soni, son of Ramji Lal Soni, aged about 51 years, resident of village-Nirtu, Tahsil Takhatpur, District Bilaspur (CG)
10. Ishwar Sannad, son of Janakram, aged about 55 years, resident of village-Bartori, Tahsil Bilha, District Bilaspur CG
11. Ashok Yadav, son of Faguram Yadav, aged about 51 years, resident of village – Bartori, Tahsil-Bilha, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
12. Birendra Kumar Yadav son of Maheshram Yadav, aged about 47 years, resident of village Bartori, Tahsil Bilha, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents

1. Union of India, through – Secretary, Health, New Delhi.
2. State of Chhattisgarh, Through-Secretary, Department of Health, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

97

3. Director, Health, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)
4. Chief Medical Officer, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri)

Shri Vimlesh Bajpai, Advocate for the petitioners.
Shri Yashwant Singh Thakur, Govt. Advocate for the respondents No. 2 to 4/State.

ORDER
(Passed on this 12th day of July, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the relief ought for in this petition has already been granted by the concerned authorities. Thus, nothing survives in this matter, to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents No. 2 to 4 has no objection.

2. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur